## REMARKS

Applicants respond hereby to the outstanding Office Action mailed December 28, 2007, in the above-identified application. Claim 1 is amended hereby, and claims 23-44 are cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter. Claims 1-22 and 45-47 remain pending hereinafter, where claim 1 is the sole independent claim.

In the Office Action, claims 1-47 were rejected under 35 USC §112, Second Paragraph as indefinite. The Examiner asserts that the phrase "which holds a relative position of the 2<sup>nd</sup> cylindrical member with respect to the forceps channel of the endoscope" seems to positively recite the forceps channel and the endoscope. In response, applicants amend claim 1 hereby, as suggested by the Examiner. The phrase now reads: "for holding a relative position of the 2<sup>nd</sup> cylindrical member with respect to the forceps channel of the endoscope;".

In addition, claims 24 and 26 were objected to for informalities, and claims 23-44 were objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 (Double Patenting) as a substantial duplicate of claims 1-22. In response, applicants have cancelled claims 23-44 without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter. Applicants respectfully assert, therefore, that the objection to claims 24 and 26, and the objection to claims 23-44 under 37 CFR 1.75 (Double Patenting) are obviated.

Accordingly, applicants request withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-22 and 45-47 under 35 USC §112, Second Paragraph, request withdrawal of the objection to claims 1-12 under 37 CFR 1.75, and request allowance of currently pending claims 1-22 and 45-47. If the

Examiner believes that a telephone conference with applicants' attorneys would be advantageous to the disposition of this case, the Examiner is asked to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

John F. Vodopia Registration No.: 36,299 Attorney for Applicants

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser, P.C. 400 Garden City Plaza, Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530 (516) 742-4343 JFV: vh